Wickliffe Family Resource Center Community Meeting August 8, 2018

- I. The Family Resource Center
 - a. Historical Perspective/Concept/Funding
 - i. More students and families were presenting with needs related to issues outside of the traditional scope of school
 - 1. Increased enrollment in outside counseling programs
 - 2. Increased enrollment of economically disadvantaged students
 - 3. Increased issues related to family issues.
 - ii. Researched potential solutions
 - 1. Family Resource Center
 - a. Researched centers from a local, statewide, and national perspective
 - b. Growing body of research demonstrating centers were effective in:
 - i. Connecting students/families/communities to healthcare providers
 - ii. Having positive impact on graduation rates, school attendance, tardiness, grade promotions, dropout rates, disciplinary issues
 - iii. Engaged the families and community members in school and the community
 - iv. Improved partnerships among community members, agencies, healthcare providers, and the school district
 - v. Improved health outcomes for students, families, and community members.
 - c. Visited existing centers to learn about the scope of services and to better understand their workings
 - i. Painesville
 - ii. Windsor, Ontario
 - iii. Cleveland
 - d. Pursued grants to bring a FRC to Wickliffe
 - e. Received a \$45,000.00 grant from the Local Government Innovation Fund to conduct a feasibility study to determine the value to the Wickliffe community.
 - Study conducted by a strategic planning organization called the Long and Short of It. The organization partnered with members of the KSU Department of Economics faculty to conduct the study.

- ii. Summary of Findings
 - 1. 1,500+ people would use the services of a FRC (This was based on Wickliffe residents only)
 - 2. Estimated economic value per year-\$88,000.00 for families in Wickliffe
 - 3. Estimated spending effect-\$158,000.00 per year
 - 4. Estimated employment effect- 7-8 FTE.
- f. Received \$250,000.00 in funding from the State Capital Budget to renovate the space for the FRC. Lakeland Community College is a partner in the funding.
- g. The WHS Shop area was the first choice because data supports a school setting being attractive to students/families.
 - i. Examined other options for comparison:
 - 1. Provo House
 - 2. Current BOE Office
 - 3. Former Electronics Store
 - a. All other options were more expensive and less conducive to the programming to be provided.

- b. Services to be offered
 - i. Educational Programming
 - 1. Examples:
 - a. Financial Literacy
 - b. Adult Literacy
 - c. Early Literacy
 - d. Tax Clinics
 - e. Workforce Development
 - f. Tutoring
 - g. College Application and FAFSA
 - h. Other services as necessary
 - 2. Partners to include:
 - a. Lakeland Community College
 - b. College Now
 - c. Lifeline 211
 - d. AWT
 - e. YMCA
 - f. Local Experts
 - ii. Healthcare Services
 - 1. Examples
 - a. Screenings

- b. Vaccinations
- c. Flu Shots
- d. Physicals (Athletic and other)
- e. Basic Services
 - i. Well Baby
 - ii. Basic Illness
 - iii. Referrals
- f. Nutritional Services
- 2. Partners to include:
 - a. Lake Health
 - b. Signature Health
 - c. Lake County Health District
- iii. Social Services
 - 1. Examples
 - a. Counseling-Children, Adolescent, Adult
 - b. Family and Personal Needs
 - c. Fair Housing
 - d. Information and Referral
 - e. Transportation (as necessary and appropriate)
 - 2. Partners to include:
 - a. Crossroads
 - b. Beacon Health
 - c. Big Brothers Big Sisters
 - d. Western Reserve Community Development
 - e. Lifeline 211
 - f. Forbes House
 - g. WHS Learn, Lead, Serve
- iv. Services that will not be provided include:
 - 1. Drug/Alcohol Treatment
 - 2. Psychiatric Services
 - 3. Advanced Medical Services
 - a. X-Ray, MRI, Surgical...
 - 4. Meals
- c. Cost/Expenses
 - i. State Capital Grant- Renovation
 - ii. Partners
 - 1. Staff the facility
 - a. Lake County Health District will staff the clerical personnel
 - 2. Fees to offset District Costs related utilities, maintenance, custodial, and other costs
 - 3. Will be responsible for billing clients where appropriate
 - iii. Revenue/Expense Neutral
 - iv. An advisory board made up of partners, community members, and district staff will be formed to assist in the decision making regarding additional programming.

- d. Renovation Project/Safety and Security
 - i. Exam Rooms (3)
 - ii. Small Group Spaces (4)
 - iii. Large Group Flexible Space
 - iv. Staff Office Space
 - v. Entrance Area
 - vi. Storage
 - vii. Restroom
 - viii. Will be secured from the rest of the building
 - ix. Separate Entrance and Exit
 - x. Professional Staff on Site
 - xi. The District is hiring a School Resource Officer
 - 1. Not for the FRC but will be available to the FRC
 - xii. Evidence is that FRC do not attract crime, violence, or any kind of negative behavior or activity.
 - 1. Painesville Center
 - 2. Centers in Cincinnati area
 - 3. Langston Hughes Center
 - 4. Helen Mattheis, Director of Community Partnerships for the Cincinnati Schools reported that they do not have safety/security issues at their center
 - a. Same safety and security protocols in the center as for anyone entering the school.